

London Eye Course 2020

1. Overall, how would you rate the usefulness of the interactive lectures course?							Response Percent	Response Total
1	Very useful	<input type="text" value="40"/>					81.63%	40
2	Somewhat useful	<input type="text" value="9"/>					18.37%	9
3	Not so useful						0.00%	0
4	Not at all useful						0.00%	0
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.18	Std. Deviation	0.39	answered	49
	Maximum	2	Variance	0.15	Std. Error	0.06	skipped	0

2. Would you recommend the interactive lectures course to future candidates?							Response Percent	Response Total
1	Definitely would	<input type="text" value="40"/>					81.63%	40
2	Probably would	<input type="text" value="9"/>					18.37%	9
3	Probably would not						0.00%	0
4	Definitely would not						0.00%	0
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.18	Std. Deviation	0.39	answered	49
	Maximum	2	Variance	0.15	Std. Error	0.06	skipped	0

3. What do you like about this course?			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question		100.00%	39
1	01/10/2020 21:29 PM ID: 149273299	Exam relevant and interactive		
2	01/10/2020 21:35 PM ID: 149273512	Interactive nature. Lectures are based on cases and discussion. Lecturers emphasize important topics of exam.		
3	01/10/2020 21:35 PM ID: 149273514	The presenters were extremely great.		
4	01/10/2020 21:37 PM ID: 149273587	The lectures were excellent! Very exam-orientated. Difficult/complex cases were discussed.		
5	01/10/2020 21:44 PM ID: 149273789	Case based Interactive		
6	01/10/2020 21:46 PM ID: 149273818	I think doing it online is as efficient as physically being there no need for extra costs for travel and accommodation. That being said we were expecting partial refund as conversion to online had reduced the costs.		
7	01/10/2020 21:48 PM ID: 149273911	Case based Interactive		
8	01/10/2020 22:07 PM ID: 149274417	Interactive. Lots of photos and cases shown. Given the difficult circumstances, speakers were very good and engaging.		
9	01/10/2020 22:20 PM ID: 149274750	Interaction, good coverage of all stations		
10	01/10/2020 22:47 PM ID: 149275371	Cased based. Exam focused.		
11	01/10/2020 23:35 PM ID: 149276194	A whole session of scenarios on ethics and communication rather than covering theory		
12	01/10/2020 23:40 PM ID: 149276258	Organisation. Being interactive. Availability of lectures later on for those who have missed something or for revision.		
13	01/10/2020 23:55 PM ID: 149276411	Constructed towards exam		
14	02/10/2020 00:11 AM ID: 149276546	The comprehensive cover of the topics and the important and most frequent cases. The interactive manner of the lectures. All speakers were very good in encouraging the candidates and emphasis on the important topics.		
15	02/10/2020 02:03 AM ID: 149277084	Interactive nature of the course		
16	02/10/2020 06:01 AM ID: 149277816	It was interactive and gave a chance for each of us. Case based approach was very useful too..		
17	02/10/2020 07:25 AM ID: 149278783	Exam focused		
18	02/10/2020 07:35 AM ID: 149278961	I liked the way it covered the subspecialties and how it was geared towards real cases/photos/videos.		
19	02/10/2020 07:40 AM ID: 149279036	It was very wide ranging		
20	02/10/2020 08:14 AM ID: 149279961	I like that it was virtual. Which meant you could attend from any where. My screen was very clear so could see photos and videos in detail. It was well organised in such a short time. I give props to the organisers and the lecturers. Also thanks for the Pret lunch card, given it was a webinar. I think 4 days was just about right.		
21	02/10/2020 08:48 AM ID: 149281267	I liked the interaction style in the neuro-ophthalmology lecture the most. It was not intimidating although we tackled a very difficult subject and complicated cases.		
22	02/10/2020 09:26 AM ID: 149283577	Exam focused and ability to practice answering questions as required in the exam with feedback from the lecturers		
23	02/10/2020 10:13 AM ID: 149287438	Good coverage of topics, of exam level. Good questioning. Fair allocation of questions to all candidates.		
24	02/10/2020 12:27 PM	Interactive nature. Amazing speakers. Ethics and communication skills is no doubt		
			answered	39
			skipped	10

4. How can we improve this course?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question		100.00%	33
1	01/10/2020 21:29 PM ID: 149273299	More pre-course material. Personally I would find handouts sent out prior to the talks helpful, to annotate.		
2	01/10/2020 21:35 PM ID: 149273512	It was actually surprisingly great having the course as a webinar. One could hear the lecturer very well and it worked well for the delegates to answer the questions.		
3	01/10/2020 21:35 PM ID: 149273514	Adding uveitis season		
4	01/10/2020 21:37 PM ID: 149273587	Nothing, but if I have to mention something, possibly allowing the guest to unmute themselves to participate.		
5	01/10/2020 21:44 PM ID: 149273789	Don't have general stuff Just cases No need for MR studies- we can read them		
6	01/10/2020 21:46 PM ID: 149273818	All the lectures were good in that they were exam oriented except neuro although excellent infirmary and knowledge but not exam focused.		
7	01/10/2020 21:48 PM ID: 149273911	Don't have general stuff Just cases No need for MR studies- we can read them		
8	01/10/2020 22:07 PM ID: 149274417	It was difficult to concentrate online for such a long time. Maybe splitting the sessions up a bit over a longer period?		
9	01/10/2020 22:47 PM ID: 149275371	One day longer and more time on each section.		
10	01/10/2020 23:35 PM ID: 149276194	Possibly limit the time a candidate spends answering questions. It is a revision course, nevertheless it is also important to get through as many cases as possible like the Cornea session. Therefore, it would be useful if the candidates also are quick in answering questions and move on after a giving the candidate a pre-specified time to answer.		
11	01/10/2020 23:40 PM ID: 149276258	Some lectures need more time. Lecture for the investigations : ERG, hess, visual field, etc More case discussion for AER station and communication.		
12	02/10/2020 00:11 AM ID: 149276546	The communication station should have a separate session on its own and should cover more scenarios.		
13	02/10/2020 07:25 AM ID: 149278783	Weekend dates		
14	02/10/2020 07:35 AM ID: 149278961	I think it was a good first effort at a virtual course. I think that 6-8 hrs per day on Zoom was far too long though - I had a headache at the end of each day and found the 4 days exhausting, so much so I had to stop the afternoon session each day before it ended and re- watch the videos at a later date - could the panel discuss whether future courses could be split over 4 weeks with one full day each week in future?? I think attendees would find it easier to digest and get more out of it this way. Some of the speakers weren't really aware of the details of our exam in November and I think given the delays and also the cost of the course this would have been nice if the organisation had briefed them all about what the differences might/would be - some of them were still expecting us to examine patients in 7 weeks time!!		
15	02/10/2020 07:40 AM ID: 149279036	remove some of the more didactic teaching. It is harder to concentrate for long periods when on zoom		
16	02/10/2020 08:14 AM ID: 149279961	Going forward, even post Covid , consider in cooperating the online option. Also consider using platform that will prevent screen recording. Otherwise , the course was great. Thanks.		
17	02/10/2020 09:26 AM ID: 149283577	The common exam questions session could be geared more on viva based previous questions that would help as viva from previous candidates seems to be the more difficult part of the exam.		
18	02/10/2020 10:13 AM ID: 149287438	More examples of previous exam questions		
19	02/10/2020 12:27 PM ID: 149300296	Maybe offering it more than twice a year		
20	02/10/2020 16:06 PM	Recording of oculoplastics session would be useful.		
			answered	33
			skipped	16

4. How can we improve this course?				Response Percent	Response Total
		ID: 149321456	VR session would be useful.		
21	02/10/2020 19:17 PM ID: 149333086	Get the oculoplastic session online			
22	02/10/2020 19:24 PM ID: 149333390	Less participants - more air time for everyone			
23	02/10/2020 20:06 PM ID: 149335129	I think going over investigations and their interpretation would have been useful. e.g. OCT, FFA (in MR) abd HVF (glaucoma) Pentacams were well covered in the corneal section			
24	03/10/2020 14:57 PM ID: 149360920	Have a VR and uveitis session, making it a 5 day course, then you would have almost covered everything.			
25	04/10/2020 20:40 PM ID: 149398785	All teachers should be up to date with the exam format.			
26	05/10/2020 16:24 PM ID: 149469264	I think the cost, given it is an online course was far too much. There were no overheads for venues and therefore I think it is far too expensive, and for this reason alone, if it were to be online, I would not recommend this to other colleagues if it is the same price online. Furthermore, a refund with a pret A manger voucher is also extremely disappointing as I have no pret anywhere near me. It would be far more appropriate to refund the money itself. If this was not possible due to logistical reasons, this should have been communicated as I did feel the communication running up to the event has been poor. Furthermore, the final lecture ran over. I understand that sometimes things take longer than planned, however I think the timing for this should be improved, particularly as this was the last session as ""zoom fatigue"" will have set in for students and also expertise on zoom should have improved on the delivery side by this point in the week.			
27	05/10/2020 16:59 PM ID: 149473631	I think with video / zoom lectures surely the cost of overhead would be lower.			
28	05/10/2020 19:51 PM ID: 149489035	In this particular instance, £1100 felt a bit too expensive for webinar.			
29	07/10/2020 15:46 PM ID: 149657025	difficult in covid times, but more fair distribution of questions, interaction with candidates			
30	07/10/2020 19:22 PM ID: 149674468	Possibly more structured material on landmark studies especially in med retina and glaucoma could be added. Med retinal part on studies was slightly vague, brief NICE guidance summary could be useful to save time on preparation. However, the course is covering many topics, so a bit heavy. Hard to fit more topics. Online materials provided by the course are useful.			
31	10/10/2020 05:29 AM ID: 149864509	As the first online video conference there were understandably some difficulty trying to the interactive questioning going but I think it got better towards the last few sessions where you were ""called up"" a bit in advance and were just thrown into it - a bit more like the traditional lecture. My last feedback is just making sure all the teachers know the format of the exam, i.e. this year it's videos, not actual patients, and adapt their lecture to that. Many thanks for an excellent course otherwise!			
32	10/10/2020 13:21 PM ID: 149882439	Maybe identify potential participants ahead of time to try and save time? Not sure how feasible this is. Obviously things are changing and nobody really known what the exam is going to be like, but having some information on what to expect.			
33	11/10/2020 15:51 PM ID: 149924080	If we could have been given the lecture slides after the talks as well this would have made making notes easier and would be beneficial to revising if there was a way to be able to download the lectures rather than stream this would be useful for revising while on public transport etc when internet signal is not available			
				answered	33
				skipped	16

5. Overall, how would you rate the medical retina lectures?							Response Percent	Response Total
1	Very useful	<input type="text" value="79.59%"/>					79.59%	39
2	Somewhat useful	<input type="text" value="20.41%"/>					20.41%	10
3	Not so useful						0.00%	0
4	Not at all useful						0.00%	0
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.2	Std. Deviation	0.4	answered	49
	Maximum	2	Variance	0.16	Std. Error	0.06	skipped	0

Comments: (10)

1	01/10/2020 21:35 PM ID: 149273514	Very nice presentation
2	01/10/2020 21:37 PM ID: 149273587	Well structured.
3	01/10/2020 21:46 PM ID: 149273818	Lacking lots of topics
4	01/10/2020 22:47 PM ID: 149275371	Mr Shalchi session was amazing. He simplified difficult to digest topics and had a clear and methodological approach. He is obviously a keen teacher and very knowledgeable. He was also very in tune with how to present a case in the exam.
5	02/10/2020 07:35 AM ID: 149278961	Excellent speaker, calm and helpful feedback - he was one of the best speakers and kept us engaged despite the long list of trials!!! He was so encouraging and this lifted the session!!
6	02/10/2020 07:40 AM ID: 149279036	I thought he did a good job of doing the trials
7	02/10/2020 08:14 AM ID: 149279961	Consider including some VR lectures as well- even its 1 hour overview Excellent . Very detailed. Great summary of the MR studies
8	02/10/2020 16:38 PM ID: 149324165	Good overview of studies & guidelines
9	03/10/2020 14:57 PM ID: 149360920	Ran out of time to cover all of the cases though which was a shame
10	05/10/2020 16:24 PM ID: 149469264	Very well covered. Well spread out with regular breaks. Excellent delivery of very relevant information

6. Would you recommend the same medical retina teaching to future candidates?							Response Percent	Response Total
1	Definitely would	<input type="text" value="75.51%"/>					75.51%	37
2	Probably would	<input type="text" value="22.45%"/>					22.45%	11
3	Probably would not	<input type="text" value="2.04%"/>					2.04%	1
4	Definitely would not						0.00%	0
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.27	Std. Deviation	0.49	answered	49
	Maximum	3	Variance	0.24	Std. Error	0.07	skipped	0

7. Overall, how would you rate the adnexal lectures?

						Response Percent	Response Total	
1	Very useful	<input type="text" value="77.55%"/>				77.55%	38	
2	Somewhat useful	<input type="text" value="20.41%"/>				20.41%	10	
3	Not so useful	<input type="text" value="2.04%"/>				2.04%	1	
4	Not at all useful	<input type="text" value="0.00%"/>				0.00%	0	
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.24	Std. Deviation	0.48	answered	49
	Maximum	3	Variance	0.23	Std. Error	0.07	skipped	0

Comments: (17)

1	01/10/2020 21:35 PM ID: 149273514	Most common cases were covered.
2	01/10/2020 21:37 PM ID: 149273587	Loved this lecture! Great structure and overall approach to ovulo plastics and orbit
3	01/10/2020 21:44 PM ID: 149273789	Excellent cases and MRI scans
4	01/10/2020 21:46 PM ID: 149273818	Too fast presentation.
5	01/10/2020 21:48 PM ID: 149273911	Excellent cases and MRI scans
6	01/10/2020 22:07 PM ID: 149274417	Would be better if it was less didactic, more scans and photos and questions.
7	01/10/2020 22:47 PM ID: 149275371	The slides without the pictures is not that useful. The talk itself was excellent.
8	01/10/2020 23:40 PM ID: 149276258	Needs more time It was very useful. Would rather have recorded presentation than handout.
9	02/10/2020 00:11 AM ID: 149276546	The lecture was not interactive and somehow slightly pooring.
10	02/10/2020 07:40 AM ID: 149279036	probably a bit much on imaging
11	02/10/2020 08:14 AM ID: 149279961	Excellent
12	02/10/2020 20:06 PM ID: 149335129	This should have been made interactive. This was the only session that was dydactic style teaching
13	05/10/2020 16:24 PM ID: 149469264	This could certainly have been made much more interactive. I do however understand that this was one of the first ones, which does make it more difficult to perhaps plan. However zoom does allow a significant amount of interaction if practiced with and so this is perhaps something that can be considered in future
14	07/10/2020 15:46 PM ID: 149657025	Not very much interactive with participants
15	07/10/2020 19:22 PM ID: 149674468	Good cases with the description of imaging techniques.
16	10/10/2020 05:29 AM ID: 149864509	Great teaching but would have been very useful to get a recording as the teacher was excellent at verbally describing the signs and scans which would have been useful to be able to go back to, not just slides.
17	11/10/2020 15:51 PM ID: 149924080	i was unable to attend the lecture and as there was no recording I can not comment - the handout seems very good tho

8. Would you recommend the same adnexal teaching to future candidates?							Response Percent	Response Total
1	Definitely would	<input type="text" value="79.59%"/>					79.59%	39
2	Probably would	<input type="text" value="12.24%"/>					12.24%	6
3	Probably would not	<input type="text" value="8.16%"/>					8.16%	4
4	Definitely would not	<input type="text" value="0.00%"/>					0.00%	0
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.29	Std. Deviation	0.61	answered	49
	Maximum	3	Variance	0.37	Std. Error	0.09	skipped	0

9. Overall, how would you rate the ethics/communication skills lectures?							Response Percent	Response Total
1	Very useful	<input type="text" value="73.47%"/>					73.47%	36
2	Somewhat useful	<input type="text" value="26.53%"/>					26.53%	13
3	Not so useful	<input type="text" value="2.04%"/>					2.04%	1
4	Not at all useful	<input type="text" value="0.00%"/>					0.00%	0
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.3	Std. Deviation	0.5	answered	49
	Maximum	3	Variance	0.25	Std. Error	0.07	skipped	0

Comments: (14)

1	01/10/2020 21:35 PM ID: 149273514	I like Ms Ameen, she made the session very cool and attractive.
2	01/10/2020 21:36 PM ID: 149273518	More comprehensive
3	01/10/2020 21:37 PM ID: 149273587	Great lecturer. I feel now more prepared.
4	01/10/2020 21:44 PM ID: 149273789	No need for this in the way it was fone. A bit of waste of time.
5	01/10/2020 21:46 PM ID: 149273818	Needs more structure.
6	01/10/2020 21:48 PM ID: 149273911	No need for this in the way it was fone. A bit of waste of time.
7	01/10/2020 22:47 PM ID: 149275371	Good session considering it was a webinar.
8	01/10/2020 23:40 PM ID: 149276258	Needs more case scenarios.
9	02/10/2020 00:11 AM ID: 149276546	No enough cover to the important communication station.
10	02/10/2020 07:35 AM ID: 149278961	Really helpful session, these examples were so useful to practice and go through. She ran the session well and was encouraging.
11	02/10/2020 08:14 AM ID: 149279961	Great. Examples of communication scenarios was very helpful.
12	04/10/2020 20:40 PM ID: 149398785	Not great. The session was way too interactive. The candidates would comment on a scenario but they were not corrected by the moderator.
13	07/10/2020 15:46 PM ID: 149657025	excellent session
14	07/10/2020 19:22 PM ID: 149674468	Very useful tips, clarification on common scenarios, made it clear what to say and what not to say during the exam.

10. Would you recommend the same ethics/communication skills teaching to future candidates?							Response Percent	Response Total
1	Definitely would	<input type="text" value="36"/>					73.47%	36
2	Probably would	<input type="text" value="12"/>					24.49%	12
3	Probably would not	<input type="text" value="2"/>					4.08%	2
4	Definitely would not						0.00%	0
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.32	Std. Deviation	0.55	answered	49
	Maximum	3	Variance	0.3	Std. Error	0.08	skipped	0

11. Overall, how would you rate the cornea & external eye disease lectures?							Response Percent	Response Total
1	Very useful	<input type="text" value="40"/>					81.63%	40
2	Somewhat useful	<input type="text" value="9"/>					18.37%	9
3	Not so useful						0.00%	0
4	Not at all useful						0.00%	0
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.18	Std. Deviation	0.39	answered	49
	Maximum	2	Variance	0.15	Std. Error	0.06	skipped	0

Comments: (14)

1	01/10/2020 21:35 PM ID: 149273514	Very intelligent presenter
2	01/10/2020 21:37 PM ID: 149273587	Excellent lecturer. Great cases!
3	01/10/2020 21:44 PM ID: 149273789	Cases
4	01/10/2020 21:46 PM ID: 149273818	Spot on. Exam oriented. That's what you want.
5	01/10/2020 21:48 PM ID: 149273911	Cases
6	01/10/2020 22:47 PM ID: 149275371	Excellent session. High yield and covered the whole topic in good detail.
7	01/10/2020 23:40 PM ID: 149276258	Needs more time. Was very useful.
8	02/10/2020 00:11 AM ID: 149276546	Mr Bizrah's lecture was very useful with excellent delivery of information, well done.
9	02/10/2020 07:35 AM ID: 149278961	He had an excellent knowledge base and was clearly driven to impart as much as he could to us.
10	02/10/2020 07:40 AM ID: 149279036	did a good job of asking candidates
11	02/10/2020 08:14 AM ID: 149279961	Great. Wiz through so many cases
12	02/10/2020 16:38 PM ID: 149324165	Many of the cases & pictures were unclear and perhaps irrelevant
13	07/10/2020 15:46 PM ID: 149657025	useful,
14	07/10/2020 19:22 PM ID: 149674468	useful coverage of exam scenarios for cornea, would be helpful to spend a bit more time on pentacam and specular microscopy description

12. Would you recommend the same cornea & external eye disease teaching to future candidates?

						Response Percent	Response Total	
1	Definitely would	<input type="text" value="38"/>					77.55%	38
2	Probably would	<input type="text" value="11"/>					22.45%	11
3	Probably would not						0.00%	0
4	Definitely would not						0.00%	0
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.22	Std. Deviation	0.42	answered	49
	Maximum	2	Variance	0.17	Std. Error	0.06	skipped	0

13. Overall, how would you rate the exam scenarios lectures?

						Response Percent	Response Total	
1	Very useful	<input type="text" value="36"/>					73.47%	36
2	Somewhat useful	<input type="text" value="12"/>					24.49%	12
3	Not so useful	<input type="text" value="1"/>					2.04%	1
4	Not at all useful						0.00%	0
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.29	Std. Deviation	0.49	answered	49
	Maximum	3	Variance	0.24	Std. Error	0.07	skipped	0

Comments: (6)

1	01/10/2020 21:35 PM ID: 149273514	Highlighted the tricky cases that candidates might make mistakes in them.
2	01/10/2020 21:46 PM ID: 149273818	N
3	01/10/2020 22:47 PM ID: 149275371	The generic talk about staying calm in the exam was not so useful. But the cases were.
4	02/10/2020 00:11 AM ID: 149276546	Excellent
5	02/10/2020 08:14 AM ID: 149279961	Great
6	05/10/2020 16:24 PM ID: 149469264	This was not common clinical scenarios, as advertised and was a paediatric session.

14. Would you recommend the same exam scenarios teaching to future candidates?

						Response Percent	Response Total	
1	Definitely would	<input type="text" value="36"/>					73.47%	36
2	Probably would	<input type="text" value="12"/>					24.49%	12
3	Probably would not	<input type="text" value="1"/>					2.04%	1
4	Definitely would not						0.00%	0
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.29	Std. Deviation	0.49	answered	49
	Maximum	3	Variance	0.24	Std. Error	0.07	skipped	0

15. Overall, how would you rate the glaucoma lectures?

						Response Percent	Response Total	
1	Very useful	<input type="text" value="40"/>				81.63%	40	
2	Somewhat useful	<input type="text" value="9"/>				18.37%	9	
3	Not so useful					0.00%	0	
4	Not at all useful					0.00%	0	
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.18	Std. Deviation	0.39	answered	49
	Maximum	2	Variance	0.15	Std. Error	0.06	skipped	0

Comments: (11)

1	01/10/2020 21:35 PM ID: 149273514	Nice knowledgeable presenter.
2	01/10/2020 21:37 PM ID: 149273587	Well organised
3	01/10/2020 21:44 PM ID: 149273789	Excellent tutor
4	01/10/2020 21:46 PM ID: 149273818	Lacking elaboration in studies.
5	01/10/2020 21:48 PM ID: 149273911	Excellent tutor
6	01/10/2020 22:47 PM ID: 149275371	Good lecture. Touched on cataract scenarios and think this would have been better in a separate section. More time on glaucoma intricacies would have been good. M
7	02/10/2020 00:11 AM ID: 149276546	Fantastic interactive lecture.
8	02/10/2020 07:35 AM ID: 149278961	Good coverage of cases and I feel like we got through a lot and will definitely remember some great pearls! Thank you
9	02/10/2020 08:14 AM ID: 149279961	Excellent
10	02/10/2020 16:38 PM ID: 149324165	Very well organised & discussed. A good example of how a few important cases can lead to a discussion of almost every aspect of the sub specialty. This was my personal favourite and I wish the entire course were structured this way. Important/typical cases, and the discussion then encompasses other minor topics, making it comprehensive and yet concise
11	07/10/2020 19:22 PM ID: 149674468	Unfortunately, the lecturer was interrupted several times during the lecture by external problems. Would be good to prevent disturbance of the lecture. Interesting body language.

16. Would you recommend the same glaucoma teaching to future candidates?

						Response Percent	Response Total	
1	Definitely would	<input type="text" value="40"/>				81.63%	40	
2	Probably would	<input type="text" value="8"/>				16.33%	8	
3	Probably would not	<input type="text" value="1"/>				2.04%	1	
4	Definitely would not					0.00%	0	
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.2	Std. Deviation	0.45	answered	49
	Maximum	3	Variance	0.2	Std. Error	0.06	skipped	0

17. Overall, how would you rate the paediatric ophthalmology lectures?

						Response Percent	Response Total	
1	Very useful	<input type="text" value="87.76%"/>				87.76%	43	
2	Somewhat useful	<input type="text" value="12.24%"/>				12.24%	6	
3	Not so useful					0.00%	0	
4	Not at all useful					0.00%	0	
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.12	Std. Deviation	0.33	answered	49
	Maximum	2	Variance	0.11	Std. Error	0.05	skipped	0

Comments: (7)

1	01/10/2020 21:35 PM ID: 149273514	Mr Jain is a nice experienced consultant in both clinical and examination environment.
2	01/10/2020 21:44 PM ID: 149273789	Too much general stuff. Just want cases.
3	01/10/2020 21:48 PM ID: 149273911	Too much general stuff. Just want cases.
4	01/10/2020 22:47 PM ID: 149275371	Great cases and teaching. Excellent having videos, which is more how this exam will be structured.
5	02/10/2020 00:11 AM ID: 149276546	The most amazing lecture, well done.
6	02/10/2020 08:14 AM ID: 149279961	Great. Took the edge off.
7	07/10/2020 19:22 PM ID: 149674468	Useful lecture, good tips and some reassurance.

18. Would you recommend the same paediatric ophthalmology teaching to future candidates?

						Response Percent	Response Total	
1	Definitely would	<input type="text" value="85.71%"/>				85.71%	42	
2	Probably would	<input type="text" value="14.29%"/>				14.29%	7	
3	Probably would not					0.00%	0	
4	Definitely would not					0.00%	0	
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.14	Std. Deviation	0.35	answered	49
	Maximum	2	Variance	0.12	Std. Error	0.05	skipped	0

19. Overall, how would you rate the neuro-ophthalmology lectures?							Response Percent	Response Total
1	Very useful	<input type="text" value="85.71"/>					85.71%	42
2	Somewhat useful	<input type="text" value="18.37"/>					18.37%	9
3	Not so useful						0.00%	0
4	Not at all useful						0.00%	0
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.18	Std. Deviation	0.38	answered	49
	Maximum	2	Variance	0.15	Std. Error	0.05	skipped	0
Comments: (17)								
1	01/10/2020 21:35 PM ID: 149273512	it was very long. It needs breaks in between the lectures. It was a marathon. If a candidate doesnt know the answer of the question, than the lecturer should move on more quickly.						
2	01/10/2020 21:35 PM ID: 149273514	Very nice helpful presenter.						
3	01/10/2020 21:37 PM ID: 149273587	This lecturer made us think and helped us simplify the approach to neuro cases.						
4	01/10/2020 21:44 PM ID: 149273789	Good cases. Went on too long.						
5	01/10/2020 21:46 PM ID: 149273818	Good review of neuro lovely presentation but not exam focused.						
6	01/10/2020 21:48 PM ID: 149273911	Good cases. Went on too long.						
7	01/10/2020 22:47 PM ID: 149275371	Just brilliant. Enthusiastic, clear and methodical.						
8	02/10/2020 00:11 AM ID: 149276546	Amazing way of delivery the important topics						
9	02/10/2020 02:58 AM ID: 149277232	Excellent lecture with passionate teacher						
10	02/10/2020 07:35 AM ID: 149278961	Good but it went on too long.						
11	02/10/2020 07:40 AM ID: 149279036	He kindly ran on to fit everything in. Seemed like a nice man.						
12	02/10/2020 08:14 AM ID: 149279961	Loved it. Helped organise thinking process in a logical manner. Thanks						
13	02/10/2020 16:06 PM ID: 149321456	One of the best part of the course.						
14	04/10/2020 20:40 PM ID: 149398785	Very knowledgeable speaker. Felt a bit too advanced but otherwise excellent.						
15	05/10/2020 16:24 PM ID: 149469264	This over-ran for far too long - see above. A full week of zoom sessions is a tiring process and therefore I think it becomes even more important that the final session finishes within a timely manner						
16	07/10/2020 19:22 PM ID: 149674468	One of the best Neuro-Ophthalmology lectures that I have heard so far (Even better than Andrew Lee, please don't tell him). Very kind and calm lecturer in spite of many wrong answers from the audience....I did not feel embarrassed... possibly should be						
17	10/10/2020 13:21 PM ID: 149882439	Overran but actually the content of the lecture was excellent.						

20. Would you recommend the same neuro-ophthalmology teaching to future candidates?

						Response Percent	Response Total	
1	Definitely would	<input type="text"/>					75.51%	37
2	Probably would	<input type="text"/>					24.49%	12
3	Probably would not						0.00%	0
4	Definitely would not						0.00%	0
Statistics	Minimum	1	Mean	1.24	Std. Deviation	0.43	answered	49
	Maximum	2	Variance	0.18	Std. Error	0.06		